

Chapter 5. Special BAB

Jingjun Han and Chen Jiang

1. Introduction

The goal of this chapter is to prove the following theorem, which is known as the Special BAB. All contents in this chapter are based on [1, Section 5].

THEOREM 1.1. (Special BAB, [1, Theorem 1.4]) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Consider projective varieties X satisfying:

- (X, B) is ϵ -lc of dimension d for some boundary B ,
- B is big and $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$, and
- the coefficients of B are at least δ .

Then the set of such X forms a bounded family.

Hacon and Xu, [3, Theorem 1.3], proved Theorem 1.1 assuming the coefficients of B belong to a fixed DCC set of rational numbers, relying on the special case when $-K_X$ is ample [2, Corollary 1.7]. We will need their result in the proof of the theorem. To be specific, we will show that K_X has a klt n -complement. The theorem can be viewed as a special case of the Borisov–Alexeev–Borisov conjecture. In fact, if we take $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 1.1, it is equivalent to the Borisov–Alexeev–Borisov conjecture, which is the main theme of this book.

Now, we briefly explain the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

- Run a $(-K_X)$ -MMP and take the canonical model, by applying Theorem 3.1 ([3, Theorem 1.3]), we reduce to the case that X is Fano.
- By Theorem 3.2 ([2, Theorem 1.6]), we only need to show the log birational boundedness of (X, B) .
- By Proposition 4.2 of Chapter 4, $|-mK_X|$ defines a birational map for some m depending only on d . In order to show the log birational boundedness, we can apply Lemma 3.1 of Chapter 4. The only remain thing is to show that $\text{vol}(-K_X)$ is bounded from above.
- Finally, in order to show that $\text{vol}(-K_X)$ is bounded from above, the idea is to construct isolated non-klt centers by $-K_X$. In fact, if $\text{vol}(-K_X)$ is large enough, then we can construct an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -aK_X$ where $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is sufficiently small, so that (X, Δ) has a non-klt center G containing x . By Shokurov-Kollár connectedness principle [4, 17.4],

the dimension of G is positive. Then we can use the method similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1 of Chapter 4 to conclude an upper bound of $\text{vol}(-K_X)$.

The last part is the most technical result in this chapter.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then there is a number v depending only on d, ϵ, δ such that for any X as in Theorem 1.1, $\text{vol}(-K_X) < v$.

2. Boundedness of volumes

In this section we will prove Proposition 1.2.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2. Take $a > 0$ to be the real number such that $\text{vol}(-aK_X) = (4d)^d + 1$. It suffices to prove that a is bounded from below.

Step 1. Reduce to the case when X is Fano of dimension $d \geq 2$ and B is a \mathbb{Q} -boundary.

When $d = 1$, the proposition clearly holds. We may assume that $d \geq 2$. Let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorialization. Note that X' is of Fano type. Running a $(-K_{X'})$ -MMP, we get a $(-K)$ -minimal model X_{\min} . Since B is big, $-K_{X_{\min}}$ is nef and big. By the Basepoint-free Theorem (cf. [7, Theorem 3.3]), $-K_{X_{\min}}$ is semiample, and defines a birational contraction $X_{\min} \rightarrow X_{\text{can}}$, where $-K_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is ample. By Exercise 4.1, $(X_{\text{can}}, B_{\text{can}})$ is ϵ -lc. By Exercise 4.2, $\text{vol}(-K_X) = \text{vol}(-K_{X_{\text{can}}}) = (-K_X)^d$, hence by replacing (X, B) by $(X_{\text{can}}, B_{\text{can}})$, we may assume that X is Fano. In particular, $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Moreover, by modifying B , we may assume that B is a \mathbb{Q} -boundary (cf. Exercise 4.3).

Step 2. Construct a family of non-klt centers G , such that $\text{vol}(-K_X|_G)$ is bounded from above.

Fixed a smooth point $y' \in X$, since $\text{vol}(-\frac{a}{2}K_X) > d^d$, by [6, 6.1], we may find $\Delta_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\frac{a}{2}K_X$, such that $\text{mult}_{y'}\Delta_1 > d$, and (X, Δ_1) is not lc at y' . Since $\text{vol}(-\frac{a}{2}K_X) > (2d)^d$, by [1, 2.31(2)] (see Section 1 of Chapter 9), there is a bounded family of subvarieties of X such that for a general point x in X , there is a member G of the family and an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\Delta_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\frac{a}{2}K_X$ such that (X, Δ_2) is lc near x with a unique non-klt place whose center contains x and that center is G . Here we always consider general x such that $x \notin \text{Supp}(\Delta_1)$, hence $(X, \Delta := \Delta_1 + \Delta_2)$ is lc near x with a unique non-klt place whose center contains x and that center is G . Recall that this family is given by finitely many morphisms $V^j \rightarrow T^j$ of projective varieties with surjective morphisms $V^j \rightarrow X$ and G is a general fiber of one of $V^j \rightarrow T^j$, and we can assume that for each j the points on T^j corresponding to the G are dense.

Denote $k := \max\{\dim V^j - \dim T^j\}$. We show that a is bounded from below by the induction on k . When $k = 0$, then $G = \{x\}$ is an isolated non-klt center and x, y' belong to two disconnected non-klt centers. By Shokurov-Kollár connectedness principle [4, 17.4], $-(K_X + \Delta) \sim -(1-a)K_X$ cannot be ample. Hence $a \geq 1$. Now we may assume that $k > 0$, let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the smallest number such that $\text{vol}(-bK_X|_G) \geq d^d + 1$ for all general G with $\dim G > 0$. We can assume that the equality is obtained for G which are general fibers of the morphism $V^j \rightarrow T^j$ for some j . By [1, 2.31(2)] (see Section 1 of Chapter 9), after replacing a by $a + b$ and replacing Δ , we can replace each family of G with a family of centers of strictly smaller dimensions. By the induction, we may assume that $a + b > 2\mu$ for

some $\mu > 0$ depending only on d, ϵ, δ . We may assume that $a < \mu$, otherwise we are done. Therefore $b \geq \mu$. From now on, we consider general G as a general fiber of the morphism $V^j \rightarrow T^j$ and by the construction, $\text{vol}(-K_X|_G) = \frac{1}{b^k}(d^d+1) \leq \frac{1}{\mu^d}(d^d+1)$ which is bounded from above.

Step 3. Construct a bounded family.

Take F to be the normalization of G . By [1, Theorem 3.10] (see Section 2 of Chapter 9) and the ACC for log canonical thresholds [2, Theorem 1.1], there is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Θ_F with coefficients in a DCC set Φ depending only on d such that we may write

$$(K_X + \Delta)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_F + \Delta_F = K_F + \Theta_F + P_F,$$

where P_F is pseudo-effective. By increasing a and adding to Δ , we may assume that P_F is effective and big. Since G is general, by [1, Lemma 3.12] (see Section 2 of Chapter 9), we may write $K_X|_F = K_F + \Lambda_F$ for some sub-boundary Λ_F such that (F, Λ_F) is sub- ϵ -lc and $\Lambda_F \leq \Theta_F \leq \Delta_F$.

By [1, Proposition 4.9] (see Proposition 4.2 of Chapter 4), there exists a natural number m depending only on d, ϵ, δ , such that $|-mK_X|$ defines a birational map. Take $\phi : W \rightarrow X$, A_W, R_W, Δ_m as in Notation 3.2 of Chapter 4. Take a log resolution $f : F' \rightarrow F$ of (F, Δ_F) such that the induced map $F' \dashrightarrow W$ (which is well-defined since G is general) is a morphism. Denote $A_{F'} := A_W|_{F'}$ which is base point free and defines a birational map on F' . Denote $M := \frac{1}{\delta}B$, $M_F := M|_F$. Note that $m\delta f^*M_F \sim_{\mathbb{R}} (A_W + R_W)|_{F'} \geq A_{F'}$.

Take $\Sigma_{F'}$ to be the sum of the strict transform of $\text{Supp}(M_F + \Theta_F)$, and f -exceptional divisors. Fix a rational number $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that $\epsilon' < \min \Phi_{>0}$. By the definition of Φ , $\text{Supp}(\Theta_F) \leq \frac{\Theta_F}{\epsilon}$. Note that by [1, Lemma 3.11], $\text{Supp}(M_F) \leq \Theta_F + M_F$ since the coefficients of M are at least 1. Recall that by [1, Lemma 2.46], $K_{F'} + (2k+1)A_{F'}$ is big (see Section 3 of Chapter 2). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{vol}(K_{F'} + \Sigma_{F'} + 2(2k+1)A_{F'}) \\ & \leq \text{vol}(K_{F'} + \Sigma_{F'} + 2(2k+1)A_{F'} + \epsilon'^{-1}(K_{F'} + (2k+1)A_{F'})) \\ & \leq \text{vol}(K_F + \Sigma_F + 2(2k+1)A_F + \epsilon'^{-1}(K_F + (2k+1)A_F)) \\ & \leq \text{vol}((1 + \epsilon'^{-1})K_F + \text{Supp}(M_F) + \text{Supp}(\Theta_F) + (2 + \epsilon'^{-1})(2k+1)A_F) \\ & \leq \text{vol}((1 + \epsilon'^{-1})K_F + \Theta_F + M_F + \epsilon'^{-1}\Theta_F + (2 + \epsilon'^{-1})(2k+1)A_F) \\ & \leq \text{vol}((1 + \epsilon'^{-1})(K_F + \Theta_F + P_F) + \delta^{-1}(-K_X|_F) \\ & \quad + m(2 + \epsilon'^{-1})(2k+1)(-K_X|_F)) \\ & \leq \text{vol}(((1 + \epsilon'^{-1})(a-1) + \delta^{-1} + (2 + \epsilon'^{-1})(2k+1)m)(-K_X|_F)), \end{aligned}$$

where A_F and Σ_F are the strict transforms of $A_{F'}$ and $\Sigma_{F'}$ on F respectively. Hence by Step 2, $\text{vol}(K_{F'} + \Sigma_{F'} + 2(2d+1)A_{F'}) \leq v_1$ for a number v_1 depending only on d, ϵ , and δ .

Now we may apply Lemma 3.1 of Chapter 4 to

$$(Y, C, D, Z, H_Z) = (F, \text{Supp } \Theta_F, m\delta M_F, F', A_{F'})$$

to construct a log bounded family $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ of couples such that there exists a couple $(\overline{F}, \Sigma_{\overline{F}}) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfying the following.

- F is birational to \overline{F} , $(\overline{F}, \Sigma_{\overline{F}})$ is log smooth,

- $\Sigma_{\overline{F}}$ consists of the support of $M_{\overline{F}} + \Theta_{\overline{F}}$ and divisors exceptional over F ,
- the coefficients of $M_{\overline{F}}$ are bounded from above by a number, say u , depending only on d , ϵ , and δ .

Here we may take a higher model of F' such that the induced map $g : F' \dashrightarrow \overline{F}$ is a morphism, $M_{\overline{F}} := g_* f^* M_F$, and $\Theta_{\overline{F}} := g_* f^* \Theta_F$.

Step 4. Apply Proposition 2.1 of Chapter 4.

We may assume that $a < 1$. Then $-(K_X + \Delta) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(1-a)K_X$ is ample. By Shokurov-Kollár connectedness principle [4, 17.4], the non-klt locus of (X, Δ) is connected. By the construction, since x is not contained in $\text{Supp}(\Delta_1)$, (X, Δ) is not lc at y' with a non-klt center not equal to G . By Shokurov-Kollár connectedness principle again, (X, Δ) has a non-klt center intersecting G , but not equal to G . By [1, Lemma 3.14], we can choose $P_F \geq 0$ such that (F, Δ_F) is not $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -lc. Since (F, Λ_F) is sub- ϵ -lc, $(F, \Delta_F + (\Delta_F - \Lambda_F))$ is not klt by the discrepancy computation.

Now since (X, B) is ϵ -lc and G is general, by [1, Lemma 3.12] (see Section 2 of Chapter 9), there is a sub-boundary B_F on F such that (F, B_F) is sub- ϵ -lc, $K_F + B_F = (K_X + B)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and $B_F = \Lambda_F + B|_F$. Moreover,

$$B_F + 2(\Delta_F - \Lambda_F) = \Delta_F + (\Delta_F - \Lambda_F) + B|_F,$$

and hence $(F, B_F + 2(\Delta_F - \Lambda_F))$ is not klt. In addition, $K_F + B_F + 2(\Delta_F - \Lambda_F) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -2aK_X|_F$ is ample. Write

$$K_{\overline{F}} + B_{\overline{F}} := g_* f^*(K_F + B_F).$$

Then by Exercise 6.4 of Chapter 4, $(\overline{F}, B_{\overline{F}} + 2g_* f^*(\Delta_F - \Lambda_F))$ is not sub-klt and by Exercise 4.1, $(\overline{F}, B_{\overline{F}})$ is sub- ϵ -lc.

Since $\Lambda_F \leq \Theta_F$, we have

$$\text{Supp}(B_F^{>0}) \subset \text{Supp}(\Theta_F + B|_F) = \text{Supp}(\Theta_F + M_F)$$

which implies that $\text{Supp}(B_{\overline{F}}^{>0}) \subset \Sigma_{\overline{F}}$. Applying Proposition 2.1 of Chapter 4 to the sub-pair $(\overline{F}, B_{\overline{F}})$, $L = 2g_* f^*(\Delta_F - \Lambda_F)$ and $\tilde{L} = 2a\delta M_{\overline{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L$, there is a positive real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ depending only on ϵ, \overline{P} such that $2a\delta u > \lambda$, which implies that $a > \frac{\lambda}{2u\delta}$. \square

3. Proof of the special BAB

The following special case of [3, Theorem 1.3] will be used to reduce Theorem 1.1 to the Fano case.

THEOREM 3.1 ([3, Theorem 1.3]). Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider projective varieties X satisfying:

- (X, B) is klt of dimension d for some boundary B ,
- B is big and $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and
- mB is an integral Weil divisor.

Then the set of such X forms a bounded family.

According to the following theorem, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show the log birational boundedness.

THEOREM 3.2 ([2, Theorem 1.6]). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Consider the set of log pairs (X, Δ) such that

- (X, Δ) is ϵ -lc,

- $K_X + \Delta$ is ample, and
- the coefficients of Δ are at least δ .

If the set of such (X, Δ) is log birationally bounded, then it is log bounded.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorialization. Running a $(-K_{X'})$ -MMP, we get a $(-K)$ -minimal model X_{\min} . Since B is big, $-K_{X_{\min}}$ is nef and big. By the Basepoint-free Theorem (cf. [7, Theorem 3.3]), $-K_{X_{\min}}$ is semiample, and defines a birational contraction $X_{\min} \rightarrow X_{\text{can}}$, where $-K_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is ample. Denote by B_{can} the birational transform of B on X_{can} . Note that $K_{X_{\text{can}}} + B_{\text{can}} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ and $(X_{\text{can}}, B_{\text{can}})$ is again ϵ -lc by Exercise 4.1.

If the set of such X_{can} is bounded, then there is a natural number n , such that $-nK_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is Cartier (cf. Exercise 4.4). Moreover, by the Effective Basepoint-free Theorem [5], we may assume that $-nK_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is base point free. This implies that there is a klt n -complement of $K_{X_{\text{can}}}$, which in turn gives a klt n -complement of K_X (cf. Exercise 4.5). Hence by Theorem 3.1, X forms a bounded family.

Finally, in order to show the boundedness of X_{can} , we show that $(X_{\text{can}}, B_{\text{can}})$ forms a log bounded family. We may take $\Delta_{\text{can}} = (1+t)B_{\text{can}}$ for some sufficiently small $t > 0$, such that $(X_{\text{can}}, \Delta_{\text{can}})$ is $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -lc where $K_{X_{\text{can}}} + \Delta_{\text{can}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -tK_{X_{\text{can}}}$ is ample. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove that $(X_{\text{can}}, \Delta_{\text{can}})$ forms a log birationally bounded family, or equivalently, $(X_{\text{can}}, B_{\text{can}})$ is log birationally bounded. By Proposition 4.2 of Chapter 4 and Proposition 1.2, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and v depending only on d, ϵ , and δ , such that $|-mK_{X_{\text{can}}}|$ defines a birational map and $\text{vol}(-K_{X_{\text{can}}}) < v$. Take $\phi : W \rightarrow X_{\text{can}}$, A_W, R_W as in Notation 3.2 of Chapter 4. Write Σ_W to be the support of $\phi_*^{-1}B_{\text{can}}$ and all ϕ -exceptional divisors, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{vol}(K_W + \Sigma_W + 2(2d+1)A_W) \\
& \leq \text{vol}(K_{X_{\text{can}}} + \phi_*\Sigma_W + 2(2d+1)\phi_*A_W) \\
& \leq \text{vol}(K_{X_{\text{can}}} + \delta^{-1}B_{\text{can}} + 2(2d+1)\phi_*A_W) \\
& \leq \text{vol}(-(\delta^{-1} + (4d+2)m)K_{X_{\text{can}}}) \\
& \leq (\delta^{-1} + (4d+2)m)^d v.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we may apply Lemma 3.1 of Chapter 4 to

$$(Y, C, D, Z, H_Z) = (X_{\text{can}}, 0, mB_{\text{can}}, W, A_W)$$

to finish the proof. \square

4. Exercises

EXERCISE 4.1. Let (X, B) be an ϵ -lc pair and $K_X + B \equiv 0$. If $g : W \rightarrow X, h : W \rightarrow Y$ are two birational contractions, then $h_*g^*(K_X + B)$ is sub ϵ -lc. In particular, if $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ is a birational contraction, then (X', f_*B) is also ϵ -lc.

EXERCISE 4.2. Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a D -non-positive birational contraction between normal projective varieties. Show that $\text{vol}(D) = \text{vol}(f_*D)$.

EXERCISE 4.3. Let (X, B) be an ϵ -lc pair such that $K_X + B \equiv 0$. Then for any $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$, we may find a \mathbb{Q} -divisor B' , such that (X, B') is an ϵ' -lc pair and $K_X + B' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

EXERCISE 4.4. Suppose that X belongs to a bounded family \mathcal{P} and X is projective with klt singularities. Then there is a natural number n depending on \mathcal{P} , such that nK_X is Cartier.

EXERCISE 4.5. *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a $(-K_X)$ -non-positive birational contraction between normal projective varieties. If K_Y has a klt n -complement for some natural number n , show that K_X also has a klt n -complement.*

References

1. Caucher Birkar, *Anti-pluricanonical systems on fano varieties*, **123** (2016).
2. Christopher D. Hacon, James McKernan, and Chenyang Xu, *ACC for log canonical thresholds*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **180** (2014), no. 2, 523–571. MR 3224718
3. Christopher D. Hacon and Chenyang Xu, *Boundedness of log Calabi-Yau pairs of Fano type*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **22** (2015), no. 6, 1699–1716. MR 3507257
4. János Kollár, *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, *Lectures in Utah Summer Seminar 1991*, *Asterisque*, vol. 211, 1992.
5. ———, *Effective base point freeness*, *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), no. 4, 595–605. MR 1233485
6. ———, *Singularities of pairs*, *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 62, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 221–287. MR 1492525
7. János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. MR 1658959

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, 3400 N. CHARLES STREET,
BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA

E-mail address: `jhan@math.jhu.edu`

SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, JIANGWAN CAMPUS,
SHANGHAI 200438, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: `chenjiang@fudan.edu.cn`